Approved For RENESSE 2003700029: CIA-RDP84-00780R003800030012-7

MR. BANNERM B 1970

STAT

**STAT** 

REGISTRY

DD/S 70-0371

rate finally.

4 FEB 1970

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chairman, CIA Travel Policy Committee

SUBJECT

: The CIA Travel Policy Committee

REFERENCE

dtd 27 Aug 69, same subj

Now that the Travel Policy Committee has had several months of operation, I would like a report of its accomplishments and current problems to be resolved. This need not be a long comprehensive report but in sufficient detail that I can have an understanding of the travel matters considered and how resolved. In January of each year I would like a similar annual report of the Committee's activities.

(signed) John W. Coffey

R. L. Bannerman
Deputy Director
for Support

EO-DD/S:WEB/es (29 Jan 70)

Rewritten: ADD/S: JWC/ms (4 Feb 70)

Distribution:

Orig & 1 - Adse

1 - DD/S Chrono

1 - DD/S Subject, w/Background (DD/S 69-4819, etc.)

25X1

25X1

GROSS 1
Excluded from salematic
00786**P999890**030012-7

7/7/70

Approved Top-Rules 2003/04/29 : CIA-RDP84-00780R003800030012-7

The ste attached, it Thing it though be sent

STAT

for action.

Tom called he today to complain that some of the things they have finished, then get stuck

don't jet out . He noted

one tim which was signed

off on by the Committee in

oct 69, was printed and then went to fre on roker,

alt is still in for Box

Approved For Release 100 104/29: CIARDP84.00740R003800030012-7

vegorate attacked humo

STAT

STAT

Approved	FOT RAINENA	<b>2003/0453</b> 91PCI	<u></u>	3800030012-7
	TO:	Bannerman via Mı	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	ROOM NO.	BUILDING		
	REMARKS:			
	Ro	ecomm <b>en</b> d your sig	mature.	
			MUN S	
	FROM:			
	ROOM NO.	BUILDING	EXTENSION	
	FORM NO .241	REPLACES FORM 36-8 WHICH MAY BE USED.		(47)

### Approved For Release 2003/04/29: CIA-RDP84-00780R003800003000123371

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Finance						
ATTENTION	:					
SUBJECT	: The CIA Travel Policy Committee					
REFERENCE	: dtd 27 Aug 69, same subject					

**STAT** 

STAT

Now that the Travel Policy Committee has had several months of operation I would like a report of its accomplishments and current problems to be resolved. This need not be a long comprehensive report but in sufficient detail that I can have an understanding of the travel matters considered and how resolved. In January of each year I would like a similar annual report of the Committee's activities.

R. L. Bannerman
Deputy Director
for Support

hets sent don't the committee to be the state of the short of the shor

## Travel Policy Committee

25X1

# Time Lapse Between Committee Concurrence and DDP Authentication

25	X1 Description of Revision	TPC Concurrence		To DDP For thentication	<u>n</u>	DDP Authenticated		rrence To
	i	8/13/69		8/20/69	ţ,	8/26/69	13	days
		8/15/69	> 3	9/8/69	v	10/23/69	68	days
		8/15/69		9/9/69	.a.,	12/9/69	115	days
		10/20/69		11/19/69	42	2/9/70	112	days
		2/10/70		2/18/70	7 -	3/3/70	21	days
		2/11/70	. *	3/4/70		3/17/70	34	days

Note: When filed, pls attach this material to back of 70-0965.

p.

31 March 1970

NOTE FOR: Mr. Coffey

I have attached a schedule of those Regs the TPC thinks were excessively delayed in publishing after all agreed to them  ${\color{red}\bullet}$ 

I have also attached an explanation from RCB of time spent by them in handling. I see no weakness or delinquency in RCB considering courier time and "change of Command" when most lengthy processing time occurred.

WEB
WEB
RIB

STAT

HI JWC - Other this should only be infamily.

RCB has exallent relations who I don't thunk we should get to hargling who

STAT

STAT

\$TAT

STAT

31 March 1970

25X1	Mr. Coffey via
25X1	Attached is response to the Travel Policy Committee's complaint about the lengthy "processing" time in getting Regs through SSS/RCB.
25X1 25X1	Jack has converted processing days to "work-days" (rather than calendar days), a more accurate measure of time spent in RCB. The two jobs which were in RCB for 23 work-days reflects a backlog of jobs during that period as well as the fact that this was during the period of personnel turnover in RCB (i.e. departure assignment).
	FYI only, the attached revision shows one reason why it takes time to process jobs through RCB. The illegible page is exactly as received and required several discussions to obtain clarification.
	The last two cited are more representative of what we expect to do in the future and we plan to move future changes out within 5 work-days.
	25X1

Approved For Release 2003/04/29; CIA-RDP84-00780R003800030012-7
TO: Mr. Coffey
ROOM NO. BUILDING
REMARKS:

Attached are explanations on proposals initiated by the Travel Policy Committee.

FROM:
ROOM NO. BUILDING EXTENSION

# PROPOSALS SUBMITTED BY THE TRAVEL POLICY COMMITTEE

	Issuance	<u>Title</u>	Remarks	
STAT		Local Transportation	This proposal was received in RCB 14 August 1969 and sent to C/OPSER on 20 August, four workdays later. No problem involved.	
		Rest Stops	Received in RCB on 18 August 1969. Information copies were sent to the Executive Director-Comptroller and the Inspector General on 4 September 1969, and a copy sent to C/OPSER on 8 September for authentication. It was returned from DDP on 24 October 1969. This job remained in RCB 13 workdays during which time it was rewritten and a memorandum sent to Executive Director-Comptroller and Inspector General. Believe this to be normal processing time considering that this job took its place among the 26 other jobs that were processed by RCB during this period.	
STAT		R&R Trave1	Received in RCB on 18 August 1969 and submitted to C/OPSER on 9 September 1969. It was returned signed on 9 December 1969. Information copies of this proposal were also sent to the Executive Director-Comptroller and the Inspector General on 9 September 1969. This job remained in RCB 23 workdays. Can find no reason for this time period except that processed as a normal job without any priority.	STAT
		Unused Baggage	Received in RCB 20 October 1969. Completely redrafted in RCB and used as a training paper for indoctrination of new Chief, RCB. Information memorandums submitted to Executive Director-Comptroller and Inspector General on 6 November and copy sent to C/OPSER by RCB on 18 November. This job remained in RCB 14 workdays before being sent for information	

before being sent for information and 23 workdays before being submitted

PROPOSALS SUBMITTED BY THE TRAVEL POLICY COMMITTEE - Con.

	Issuance	<u>Title</u>	Remarks
			for authentication. No unusual delay in this job. It was returned signed by C/OPSER on 2 February 1970.
ΓΑΤ		Return Travel	Received in RCB 12 February 1970. Rewritten and copy sent to C/OPSER on 17 February for approval, three workdays later. No delay.
		Use of POV	Received in RCB 18 February 1970. Several questions arose on this job as to clarity, and certain sections had to be written after consultation with O/F and DDP. It was submitted to C/OPSER for approval on 1 March 1970. The job remained in RCB eight workdays, normal processing time even with the rewrite problems. The HR counterpart was sent to the A/DDS twice for signature due to changes. Attached is a copy of this proposal as received from the Travel Policy Committee. It is not exactly clear, especially page 3.

I do not believe we can compare the time that it takes to process a job in RCB and the time it takes the DDP to authenticate this job. Once a proposal is received in RCB, a job is established, a card prepared for the Kardex, a folder set up, and then it is given to an editor for review and rewriting. Depending on his current workload, which is always backlogged, and the priorities of the new job, he proceeds with the processing of this job. The complexity and size of the proposal and staff work done by the Travel Policy Committee helps to determine how extensive RCB's labors will be. C/OPSER's role is simply to authenticate a proposal when it is sent to him by RCB; the same as it is for the A/DDS.

STAT

Next 3 Page(s) In Document Exempt

STAT

25X1

Ар	UNCLASSIFIED proved For Release	se 2003/04/29 Ch	TRDP84 <sup>X</sup> 00	780R00380	0030012-7			
OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP								
то	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	D ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS				
1	EO-DDS Headqu	ıarters						
2								
3								
4								
5								
6	-							
	ACTION	DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE	REPLY				
	APPROVAL	DISPATCH	<del></del>	ENDATION				
	COMMENT	FILE	RETURN					
	CONCURRENCE	INFORMATION	SIGNATU	RE				
Pursuant to your request, attached is a statistical report which indicates the time lapse between final Travel Policy Committee concurrence and DDP authentication of revisions to  This report is an addendum to the last part of paragraph 5 of the memorandum for DDS, dated 9 March 1970, Subject: Annual Report - The CIA Travel Policy Committee.  Chairman, TPC								
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER								
	FROM: NAME,	ADDRESS AND PHONE N	10.	DATE	]			
				3/25/70				

25X1

25X1

## Travel Policy Committee

25X1	Γ	

Time Lapse Between Committee Concurrence and DDP Authentication

25X1  Description of Revision	TPC Concurrence	To DDP For Authentication	DDP Authenticated	Concurrence To Authentication
	8/13/69	7 8/20/69 ~ 6	- 8/26/69	13 days
	8/15/69 1	13 - 9/8/69 - 45	10/23/69	68 days
	8/15/69	15 9/9/69 - 9 <b>6</b>	- 12/9/69	115 days
	10/20/69	30 11/19/69 - 9n	- 2/9/70	112 days
	2/10/70 -	8 - 2/18/70 - <i>(</i> 3	3/3/70	21 days
	2/11/70 -	71 - 3/4/70 - 13	3/17/70	34 days